arXiv:1112.2688v2 [math.NT] 12 Sep 2012

Catalan Equation Over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ For Even Exponent

R. Balasubramanian, Prem Prakash Pandey

September 13, 2012

Abstract

This article lists all the solution of the Catalan equation $x^p - y^q = 1$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, when one of the primes p and q is even. On our way we also solve the Diophantine equation $ax^p - y^p = b$, where x, y are integers in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, p is an odd prime and a and b are rational integer with $|b| < 2^{p/2}$.

0.1 Introduction

The famous conjecture of Catalan states that "The only solution of the equation $x^m - y^n = 1$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, xy \neq 0$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m, n > 1$ is $(\pm 3)^2 - 2^3 = 1$". The conjecture was finally proven with the mammoth effort of Preda Mihailescu [6, 7, 8]. There are account of studies of the Catalan equation $x^m - y^n = 1$ over number fields K (i.e. $x, y \in \mathbb{O}_K$, the ring of integers of K). The number field analog of the conjecture has not seen much light of the day. The authors in [2] showed that over any number field the equation has only finitely many solution, but the bounds on the possible solutions are astronomical. Note that its enough to consider the equation $x^p - y^q = 1$, where $x, y \in \mathbb{O}_K$ and p, q are primes. Even primes exhibited a different behavior in rational case [3, 5] and so is the case for $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. In our attempt [1]to study the Catalan equation over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ we need to dispose the case when one of the prime is even. This article handles the same for $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Consider a tupple (x, y, p, q), with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, satisfying $x^p - y^q = 1$. Such a tupple is referred as a Catalan tupple whenever $xy \neq 0$. In this article we are interested in the case when one of the prime is even. When both $p = q = 2$ then $x - y$ and $x + y$ are units and one finds that either $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. Thus we can assume that one of p and q is even and the other is odd. The equation $x^p - y^2 = 1$ translates to $y^2 - x^p$ by the change of coordinates $x \longrightarrow -x$ and $y \longrightarrow iy$. Thus, its enough to study any one of the equation $x^p - y^2 = 1$ and $x^2 - y^q = 1$ in order to solve the Catalan equation with even exponent.

In the first section we assume that the prime $p > 5$ and solve the equation $x^p - y^2 = 1$. The method is on the line of Liouville's idea of approximations of algebraic numbers by rationals. In section 2 we give solution for the equations $x^2 - y^3 = 1$ and $x^3 - y^2 = 1$, which exhibit some non-trivial solutions. This computation is based on some ideas of elliptic curves. In section 3 we handle the left cases $x^2 - y^5 = 1$ and $x^5 - y^2 = 1$ which were not covered earlier and require delicate analysis than in section 1. For the primes $p > 5$ the approach is to reduce the solvability of the equation $x^p - y^2 = 1$ to solvability of an equation of the form $(x')^p - 4(y')^p = 4$, and then solve the latter one.

0.2 Primes $p > 5$ and $q > 5$

In this section, we prove

Theorem 1. *The equation* $x^p - y^2 = 1$ *for* $p > 5$ *has only trivial solutions* $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Proof. Suppose that $x^p - y^2 = 1$ has a solution. Then we have;
$x^p = y^2 + 1 = (y+i)(y-i)$.
Note that y can not be real. If y is real, then y is a rational integer and $x^p = y^2 + 1$ is a $+ve$ integer. If $y^2 + 1$ is a p^{th} power of a rational integer then we obtain a solution to the Catalan equation $x^p - y^2 = 1$ in rational integers, but such a solution does not exist. If $y^2 + 1$ is not p^{th} power of a rational integer then the polynomial $X^p - (y^2 + 1)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$ and hence can not have a solution in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. We consider following two cases;
Case (1): $y + i$ and $y - i$ are coprime.
This will give $y + i$ and $y - i$ are p^{th} powers up to a unit. Since all the units in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ are p^{th} powers so $y + i$ and $y - i$ are p^{th} powers themselves.

One has $y + i = x_1^p$ and $y - i = x_2^p$, which leads to;

$$x_1^p - x_2^p = 2i. \tag{1}$$

Since y is not real, $|y + i| \neq |y - i|$. So one has $|x_1| \neq |x_2|$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $|x_1| > |x_2| = \sqrt{n}$ for some $+ve$ integer n. So one has $|x_1| \geq \sqrt{n+1}$. Now using equation 1 we obtain;

$$\begin{aligned} 2 = |2i| &= |x_1^p - x_2^p| \\ &\geq |x_1^p| - |x_2^p| \\ &\geq (n+1)^{p/2} - n^{p/2} \\ &\geq 5/2. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction.
case (2): $y + i$ and $y - i$ are not coprime.
Claim: $gcd(y + i, y - i) = 2i$
Any common divisor of $y + i$ and $y - i$ will divide $2i$. At least one of $y + i$ and $y - i$ is divisible by $(1 + i)^2 = 2i$, as the power of $1 + i$ in $(y + i)(y - i)$ is at least $p > 3$. Also $y + i$ and $y - i$ differ by $2i$ so the other one too is divisible by $2i$. This proves the claim.
Hence one has,

$$y + i = (1+i)^{r_1} x_1^p \quad y - i = (1+i)^{r_2} x_2^p,$$

where r_1, r_2 are positive integers satisfying $min\{r_1, r_2\} = 2$ and $r_1 + r_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Let us assume that $min\{r_1, r_2\} = r_2$, so one gets $(1+i)^{r_1} x_1^p - (1+i)^2 x_2^p = 2i$, which in turn, by putting $x_3 = -(1+i)^k x_1$ with $r_1 + r_2 = kp$, leads to $\frac{1}{4} x_3^p - x_2^p = 1$ for some integers x_3, x_2 in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Also $x_3 = 0$ or $x_2 = 0$ will lead to $y = \pm i$, which corresponds to a trivial solution. A similar equation unfolds when $min\{r_1, r_2\} = r_1$. Thus the theorem is proved once we show that the equation $\frac{1}{4} x_3^p - x_2^p = 1$ has no non-trivial solutions in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. This is done in next proposition. ☐

Proposition 1. *Let $p \geq 7$ be a rational prime. The equation $\frac{1}{4} x_3^p - x_2^p = 1$ has no non-trivial solution in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.*

Lemma 1. *For any solution (x, y, p, q) of the Catalan's equation with $y + i$ and $y - i$ not coprime one has $|x| > 2^{\frac{1}{2}}(2^{\frac{p-4}{2}} - 1)$.*

Proof. As earlier we will obtain $-2i = (1+i)^2 x_2^p - (1+i)^{kp-2} x_1^p$, which gives $(-x_2)^p \equiv 1 \pmod{(1+i)^{p-4}}$, since $k \geq 1$. As p does not divide $2^{p-4} - 2^{p-5} = \#(\mathbb{Z}[i]/(1+i)^{p-4})^*$ we get $-x_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{(1+i)^{p-4}}$ and hence $|x_2| \geq 2^{(p-4)/2} - 1$. Now $|x| \geq 2^{\frac{1}{2}}|x_2| \geq 2^{1/2}(2^{(p-4)/2} - 1)$, proving the lemma. ☐

Lemma 2. *Let x_3, x_2 be as in Proposition 3.2.2, then one has*

$$|x_3 - 4^{1/p} x_2| \leq 4^{1/p} \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{|x_2|^{p-1}} |(1 + \frac{(1/p - 1)}{2!} \frac{1}{x_2^{2p-1}} + \ldots)|.$$

Proof. Since x_3, x_2 satisfy $x_3^p - 4x_2^p = 4$, we have $\frac{x_3}{x_2} = 4^{1/p} \zeta_p^n (1 + \frac{1}{x_2^p})^{1/p}$, for some integer n, here $\zeta_p = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}$. Using binomial expansion we see that,

$$|x_3 - 4^{1/p} \zeta_p^n x_2| = 4^{1/p} \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{|x_2|^{p-1}} |(1 + \frac{(1/p - 1)}{2!} \frac{1}{x_2^{2p-1}} + \ldots)|.$$

We note that the following claim will establish the lemma.
Claim: $n = 0$.
Clearly $|x_3| \leq |x_2|^2$, this gives us, after multiplying by $\bar{x}_3$,

$$||x_3|^2 - 4^{1/p} \zeta_p^n \bar{x}_3 x_2| \leq 4^{1/p} \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{|x_2|^{p-3}} |(1 + \frac{(1/p - 1)}{2!} \frac{1}{x_2^{2p-1}} + \ldots)|.$$

Let $\bar{x_3}x_2 = a+ib$, then $Im(|x_3|^2 - 4^{1/p}\zeta_p^n\bar{x_3}x_2) = -4^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{[b(\zeta_p^n+\bar{\zeta_p}^n)+a(\zeta_p^n-\bar{\zeta_p}^n)]}{2}$ and hence one obtains

$$|4^{\frac{1}{p}}[b(\zeta_p^n+\bar{\zeta_p}^n)+a(\zeta_p^n-\bar{\zeta_p}^n)]| \leq 4^{1/p}\frac{2}{p}\frac{1}{|x_2|^{p-3}}|(1+\frac{(1/p-1)}{2!}\frac{1}{x_2^{2p-1}}+\ldots)|.$$

Let λ be a solution of $\frac{\lambda^2+1}{\lambda^2-1}+\frac{a}{b}=0$, then $\lambda^2=\frac{a-b}{b+a}$ is a real number. We have

$$|\frac{\zeta_p^n+\bar{\zeta_p}^n}{\zeta_p^n-\bar{\zeta_p}^n}-\frac{\lambda^2+1}{\lambda^2-1}| \leq \frac{2}{p}\frac{1}{|x_2|^{p-3}}|\frac{(1+\frac{(1/p-1)}{2!}\frac{1}{x_2^{2p-1}}+\ldots)}{\zeta_p^n-\bar{\zeta_p}^n}|,$$

i.e.

$$|\frac{2(\lambda^2-\zeta_p^{2n})}{(\zeta_p^{2n}-1)(\lambda^2-1)}| \leq \frac{2}{p}\frac{1}{|x_2|^{p-3}}|\frac{(1+\frac{(1/p-1)}{2!}\frac{1}{x_2^{2p-1}}+\ldots)}{\zeta_p^n-\bar{\zeta_p}^n}|.$$

i.e.

$$|\frac{(\lambda^2-\zeta_p^{2n})}{(\lambda^2-1)}| \leq \frac{1}{p}\frac{1}{|x_2|^{p-3}}|(1+\frac{(1/p-1)}{2!}\frac{1}{x_2^{2p-1}}+\ldots)|.$$

Since $p \geq 7$ and $|x_2| > \sqrt{3}$, the right side quantity in above inequality is at most $\frac{2}{p}\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}^{p-3}}$.
Now if $|\lambda^2-1| \geq 3$, then $|\lambda^2-\zeta_p^{2n}| \geq |\lambda^2-1|-2$. This will force that left hand side is at least $1/3$, which is in contradiction to the upper bound.
In case $|\lambda^2-1| \leq 3$, then we obtain $|\lambda^2-\zeta_p^{2n}| \leq \frac{6}{p}\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}^{p-3}}$. Since λ^2 is real, we obtain $|Im(\zeta_p^{2n})| \leq \frac{6}{p}\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}^{p-3}}$. i.e $|sin(\frac{2\pi n}{p})| \leq \frac{6}{p}\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}^{p-3}}$. One checks that the last inequality does not hold unless $n=0$, establishing the claim.

□

Now we intend to give the proof of the proposition 1.

Proof. (Proposition 1) One observes that if there is a non-trivial solution, then x_3 is even and x_2 is odd (i.e $1+i|x_3$ and $1+i \nmid x_2$). As $p > 5$, x_2 can not be a unit. One checks that both$|x_3|, |x_2|$ are bigger than $\sqrt{3}$. Let us write $x_3 = a_3+ib_3$, $x_2 = a_2+ib_2$.

Since $a_3 - 4^{1/p}a_2 = Re(x_3 - 4^{1/p}x_2)$ so, using lemma 2 one obtains

$$|a_3-4^{1/p}a_2| \leq 4^{1/p}\frac{1}{p}\frac{1}{x_2^{p-1}}(1+\frac{(1/p-1)}{2!}\frac{1}{x_2^{2p-1}}+\ldots). \tag{2}$$

One knows that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|x_2| \geq min\{|a_2|, |b_2|\}$. We make the following,
Claim: $min\{|a_2|, |b_2|\} \neq 0$.
We see that $a_2 \neq 0$, because if it is then from the inequality (2) we find that $|a_3| = 0$, as the latter quantity in above inequality is less than 1. This will give $(ib_3)^p - 4(ib_2)^p = 4$, a contradiction as left hand side is not real.
Also we see that $b_2 \neq 0$. Considering the imaginary part we will obtain

$$|b_3 - 4^{1/p} b_2| \leq 4^{1/p} \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{x_2^{p-1}} (1 + \frac{(1/p - 1)}{2!} \frac{1}{x_2^{2p-1}} + \ldots).$$

So we get, similarly, $b_3 = 0$. Thus, in this case, x_2 and x_3 are real. Define y by $y - i = (1+i)^2 x_2^p$, then $y + i = -(1+i)^{-2} x_3^p$ and $y^2 + 1 = (-x_2 x_3)^p$. Thus y satisfies $x^p - y^2 = 1$ with $x = -x_2 x_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since y is purely imaginary, by putting $x' = -x$ and $y' = y/i$ we obtain an integral solution $y'^2 - x'^p = 1$ of the Catalan's equation over $\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. This contradiction establishes the claim.
Let us assume that $min\{|a_2|, |b_2|\} = |a_2|$. Now consider the function $f(x) = x^p - 4$, Then one has ,

$$\frac{1}{|a_2|^p} \leq |f(\frac{a_3}{a_2}) - f(4^{1/p})| = |\frac{a_3}{a_2} - 4^{1/p}||p\xi^{p-1}|,$$

for some point ξ between $\frac{a_3}{a_2}$ and $4^{1/p}$. Now using above estimate we get

$$\frac{1}{|a_2|^p} \leq \frac{1}{|a_2|} 4^{1/p} \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{|x_2|^{p-1}} |(1 + \frac{(1/p - 1)}{2!} \frac{1}{x_2^{2p-1}} + \ldots)||p\xi^{p-1}|.$$

Also we have $|a_2| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|x_2|$ and hence one obtains,

$$\frac{1}{|a_2|^p} \leq \frac{1}{|a_2|} 4^{1/p} \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |a_2|^{p-1}} |(1 + \frac{(1/p - 1)}{2!} \frac{1}{x_2^{2p-1}} + \ldots)||p\xi^{p-1}|.$$

i.e.

$$2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \leq 4^{1/p} |(1 + \frac{(1/p - 1)}{2!} \frac{1}{x_2^{2p-1}} + \ldots)||\xi^{p-1}|.$$

Now we note that $1 + \frac{(1/p-1)}{2!} \frac{1}{x_2^{2p-1}} + \ldots$ is dominated by the geometric series $1 + \frac{1}{|x_2|^p} + \frac{1}{|x_2|^{2p}} + \ldots$. Using the lower bound on x_2 obtained in lemma 1 ($|x_2| \geq 2^{3/2} - 1$), we see that $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} < 4^{1/p} |\xi^{p-1}| (1 + \frac{1}{10})$. If $|\frac{a_3}{a_2}| < 4^{1/p}$ then we

obtain $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} < 4(1+\frac{1}{10})$ but this is not possible for $p \geq 5$.
Now we handle the case $|\frac{a_3}{a_2}| > 4^{1/p}$. In this case we have $\xi = 4^{1/p} + \epsilon$, where

$$|\epsilon| \leq |\frac{a_3 - 4^{1/p}a_2}{|a_2|}|.$$

So $|\xi|^{p-1} \leq (4^{1/p}+\epsilon)^{p-1} \leq 4^{(p-1)/p} + 1$. To see the last inequality we just notice that $|\binom{p-1}{k}4^{(p-1-k)/p}\epsilon^k| \leq 1/p$ and Using this we obtain that p satisfies $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \leq 4 + 4^{1/p}$ and this does not hold for $p \geq 7$. $\square$

0.3 Elliptic curve case

In this section we intend to settle the equations $x^3 - y^2 = 1$ and $x^2 - y^3 = 1$. They both represent elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

We will consider the equation $x^2 - y^3 = 1$, which after change of coordinate takes the form $y^2 = x^3 + 1$. The first one is dealt similarly. We will let E denote the set of $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points on the curve $y^2 = x^3 + 1$ and $E(i)$ will denote the $\mathbb{Q}(i)$-rational point on the same. Both $E(i)$ and E have a group structure under 'elliptic curve addition +'. Given any point $P = (x, y)$ in $E(i)$, the point $\bar{P} = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ is also in $E(i)$. Here $z \longmapsto \bar{z}$ is complex conjugation. The point $P + \bar{P}$ of $E(i)$ is stable under complex conjugation and hence is in E. Thus we have the trace map $T : E(i) \longrightarrow E$ sending $P \longmapsto P + \bar{P}$. To know the points in $E(i)$ it is enough to find $T^{-1}(P)$ for $P \in E$. Using Cremona's table [4] we see that that E is of rank 0 and the torsion group is of order 6. The six torsion points are $R = (2, 3),\ 2R = (0, 1),\ 3R = (-1, 0),\ 4R = (0, -1),\ 5R = (2, -3),\ 6R = (\infty, \infty)$.

Now consider $4R = (0, -1) \in E$, we want to find points $Q \in E(i)$ such that $T(Q) = 4R$, i.e. those points $Q = (x, y)$ such that $Q, \bar{Q}$ and $(0, 1)$ are collinear. A line passing through $Q, \bar{Q}$ and $(0, 1)$ is given by $y = mx + 1$, with $m = \frac{y-\bar{y}}{x-\bar{x}}$. To get the points Q and $\bar{Q}$ we solve the equations $y = mx + 1$ and $y^2 = x^3 + 1$. This gives, other than $(0, 1)$, a quadratic equation, namely, $x^2 - m^2x - 2m = 0$.

Since we are looking for integral points $Q = (x, y)$ and both $Q, \bar{Q}$ lie on L so we have $x + \bar{x} = m$ is an even integer. Further we want the point Q in

$E(i)$ and not in E so the equation $x^2 - m^2x - 2m = 0$ shall have two non real roots, also since we want the points to be integral so these roots must be in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Hence the discriminant $m^4 + 8m$ shall be $-ve$ of square of an integer. One observes that this is impossible. Thus there are no points on $E(i)$ with $T(P) = 4R$. Since T is a homomorphism so there are no points on $E(i)$ whose image under T is $R, 2R$ (if $P \longmapsto R$ then $4P \longmapsto 4R$) and hence also there is no point on $E(i)$ whose image is $5R = -R$.
Now consider the case $3R = (-1, 0)$. We consider the line through this point, as it is its own reflection, with slope m, where m is chosen as in earlier case. The line is given by $y = m(x+1)$, we substitute this in the equation defining the curve to obtain the points of the intersection. We have $(m(x+1))^2 = x^3 + 1$. Canceling the factor$x + 1$ we obtain $x^2 - (m^2+1)x + (1 - m^2) = 0$. Again we obtain $m^2 + 1$ is an even integer and so m is an odd integer. Also the discriminant $(m^2+1)^2 - 4(1-m^2)$ is $-ve$ of square of an integer. This is impossible for any integer m. Hence there are no points P on the curve mapping to $3R$ under T.
Now we consider the last case of point at infinity, the identity of the group law. Here we are looking for points P on the curve $E(i)$ such that $P = -\bar{P}$. If we write $P = (a + ib, k + il)$ then at once we have $b = 0,\ k = 0$. But then from the equation of the elliptic curve we obtain $(il)^2 = a^3 + 1$, i.e. $(-a, l)$ is a solution to $x^3 - y^2 = 1$ in rational integers, this forces $l = 0$ and hence $P \in E$. So there are no solution to the equation $x^2 - y^3 = 1$ in $E(i)$ which are not in E.
For the equation $x^3 - y^2 = 1$ we see that the point at infinity corresponds to one solution $(-2, \pm 3i)$ in $E(i)$. There are no more solution.

0.4 Equations $x^5 - y^2 = 1$ and $x^2 - y^5 = 1$

We will work with one of the equation,viz. , $x^5 - y^2 = 1$. The other one is analogus. Again as remarked in section 1 it boils down to proving an analogue of Theorem 1 for $p = 5$. Here we prove the following;

Theorem 2. *Equation $x_3^5 - 4x_2^5 = 4$ has no non-trivial solution except $x_3 = -(1+i)$ and $x_2 = i$.*

Proof. We note that if one of x_3 and x_2 is a unit then $x_3 = -(1+i)$ and $x_2 = i$. Now assume that none of them is a unit. So we can assume that both

$|x_3|, |x_2|$ are bigger than 2. Let us put $x_3 = a_3 + ib_3, x_2 = a_2 + ib_2$. Using the Lemma 3.2.4 we get $|(x_3 - 4^{1/5}x_2)| < \frac{1}{20}$.
We begin with $x_3^5 - 4x_2^5 = 4$,
i.e.

$$(x_3 - 4^{1/5}x_2)(x_3^4 + 4^{1/5}x_3^3x_2 + \ldots + 4^{4/5}x_2^4) = 4.$$

Let us put $\frac{4^{1/5}x_2}{x_3} = \eta$, then $|1 - \eta| < \frac{1}{40}$. One has

$$|(x_3 - 4^{1/5}x_2)| = \frac{4}{5|x_3|^4}(\frac{1-\eta}{1-\eta^5}).$$

Similarly one also obtains

$$|b_3^5 - 4b_2^5| = |b_3 - 4^{1/5}b_2|5|b_3|^4|(1 + \tau + \ldots + \tau^4)|$$

for $\tau = \frac{4^{1/5}b_2}{b_3}$. Since $|b_3 - 4^{1/5}b_2| \leq |(x_3 - 4^{1/5}x_2)|$, one gets, $|1 - \tau| < \frac{1}{20}$.
Using $|b_3 - 4^{1/5}b_2| \leq |(x_3 - 4^{1/5}x_2)|$, we have

$$|b_3^5 - 4b_2^5| \leq \frac{4}{5|x_3|^4}\left(\frac{1-\eta}{1-\eta^5}\right)5|b_3|^4|(1 + \tau + \ldots + \tau^4)|. \tag{3}$$

Note that $(1 + \tau + \ldots + \tau^4) = \frac{1-(1-\epsilon)^5}{\epsilon}$, for $\epsilon = 1 - \tau$. Now using the upper bound on ϵ we obtain $|(1+\tau+\ldots+\tau^4)| < 5 + \frac{10}{(20)} + \frac{10}{(20)^2} + \frac{5}{(20)^3} + \frac{1}{(20)^4} < 5.6$.
Similarly we have $|\left(\frac{1-\eta}{1-\eta^5}\right)| > 4.7$.
Now if $|b_3| < 0.8|x_3|$, then from equation (3.3) we obtain

$$|b_3^5 - 4b_2^5| \leq 4(0.80)^4\frac{5.6}{4.7} < 2.$$

We show that this is not possible. Since $b_3^5 - 4b_2^5$ is a non zero rational integer so we need to show that $|b_3^5 - 4b_2^5| \neq 1$.
Let us assume that $|b_3^5 - 4b_2^5| = 1$. Since $x_3^5 - 4x_2^5 = 4$, we obtain

$$(a_3 + ib_3)^5 - 4(a_2 + ib_2)^5 = 4.$$

Comparing the imaginary parts and taking $|b_3^5 - 4b_2^5| = 1$ in account we have $5a = 1$, for some rational integer a, which is not possible. In case $|b_3| \geq 0.8|x_3|$, then $|a_3| < 0.6|x_3|$. Now, as done with the imaginary part, using the real part of $x_3 - 4^{1/5}x_2$ we obtain $|a_3^5 - 4a_2^5| < 1$, which is a contradiction.

□

Remark 1. *We note that proof of this section also works for the section 1 (in the sense that it works for any exponent* $p > 3$*) but we have included that proof, as it involves a different argument.*

Now using Lemma 1, Theorem 1, Theorem 2 we see that the equation $x^p - y^2 = 1$ and $x^2 - y^q = 1$ has no non-trivial solution in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ for $p \geq 5$ and $q \geq 5$. This with section 2 shows that the non-trivial solution to the equation $x^p - y^2 = 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ are $(-2, \pm 3i)$ and that to the equation $x^2 - y^q = 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ are $(\pm 3, 2)$.

Acknowledgment. The second author is grateful to Professor Joseph Oesterle for suggesting the trace map used in section 2.

Bibliography

[1] Balasubramanian, R., Prem Prakash Pandey *Catalan Conjecture over Number Fields, in preparation.*

[2] Brindza, B.; Gyory, K.; Tijdeman, R. *On the Catalan equation over algebraic number fields.* J. Reine Angew. Math. 367 (1986), 90-102.

[3] Ko Chao, *On the Diophantine equation* $x^2 = y^n + 1, xy \neq 0$, Sci. Sinica 14 (1965), 457-460.

[4] Cremona, J. E. *Elliptic Curve Data, http://www.warwick.ac.uk/∼masgaj/ftp/data/.*

[5] Lebesgue, V. *Sur l'impossibilitie en nombres entiers de l' equation* $x^m = y^2 + 1$, nouv. Ann. Math. 9 (1850), 178-181.

[6] P. Mihăilescu, A class number free criterion for Catalan's conjecture, J. Number Theory 99 (2003), 225-231.

[7] P. Mihăilescu, Primary cyclotomic units and a proof of Catalan's Conjecture, J. reine angew. Mathematik 572 (2004), 167-195.

[8] P. Mihăilescu, On the class group of cyclotomic extensions in presence of a solution to Catalan's equation, J. Number Theory 118(2006), 123-144.